1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS
2	
3	Criminal No. 09-10273-WGY
4	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
5	*
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA * *
7	V. * CHANGE OF PLEA *
8	JAMES RIGANO * *
9	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
10	BEFORE: The Honorable William G. Young,
11	District Judge
12	
13	
14	APPEARANCES:
15	ROBERT E. RICHARDSON, Assistant United
16	States Attorney, 1 Courthouse Way, Suite 9200, Boston, Massachusetts 02210, on behalf of the
17	Government
18	FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER OFFICE (By Oscar
19	Cruz, Jr., Esq.), 51 Sleeper Street, 5th Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02210, on behalf of the
20	Defendant
21	
22	
23	1 Courthouse Way
24	Boston, Massachusetts
25	March 4, 2011

```
1
               THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in session, please
2
      be seated.
               Calling Criminal Action 09-10273, the United States
 3
      v. James Rigano.
 5
               THE COURT: Good morning. Would counsel identify
      themselves.
6
7
               MR. RICHARDSON: Good morning, your Honor. Robert
      Richardson for the United States.
8
               MR. CRUZ: Good morning. Oscar Cruz for James
9
10
      Rigano.
11
               THE COURT: And Mr. Rigano's present in the
12
      courtroom.
13
               Mr. Cruz, do I understand that Mr. Rigano desires
14
      to tender a change of plea?
15
               MR. CRUZ: He does, your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: He may come forward to be inquired of.
17
               THE CLERK: Right this way, sir, up here.
18
               THE COURT: And, counsel, this is a straight up
19
      plea, there's no plea agreement?
20
               MR. CRUZ: That's correct, your Honor.
21
               THE COURT: Very well.
22
               THE CLERK: Sir, would you raise your right hand.
23
               Do you solemnly swear that the answers you will
24
      give to this Court will be the truth, the whole truth, and
      nothing but the truth --
25
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 2 THE CLERK: -- so help you God? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. 3 THE CLERK: Please be seated. 4 INQUIRY BY THE COURT 5 Could you state your full name? 6 Q 7 James Michael Rigano. Mr. Rigano, my name is Bill Young. I'm the judge who 8 presides in this session of the Court. Now, when I ask your 9 10 attorney he says that you want to plead guilty to this 11 indictment against you. Before I can let you plead guilty, and I have to 12 13 make the decision whether to let you plead guilty, I have to 14 find out a variety of things. I have to find out that you 15 know what you're doing. I need to know that you know what 16 you're giving up, because you give up things that are 17 terribly important to you if you plead guilty. I have to 18 find out that you know what you're letting yourself in for, what could happen to you if you plead guilty. 19 20 I have to find out that you want to plead guilty. Not that you're happy about pleading guilty, but all things 21 22 considered, you've decided, not Mr. Cruz, not your family, 23 no one else, you've decided that the best thing for you to 24 do is plead guilty. And then I have to find out that the

government has enough evidence that if we go to trial on

25

these charges you could be found guilty of the charges.

To find these things out I ask you questions. Now, if you don't understand something that I'm asking you, stop me, because I have to ask it in a way you understand.

If, at any time, you want to talk to Mr. Cruz, he's come up to stand right here by you to counsel you, that's fine. Just turn the chair away, I'll step away, you can have a private conversation with him to decide whether you want to go on or have something explained or whatever.

Also, if you plead guilty, I'll be the judge who does the sentencing. Not today, but when we get to sentencing. Now, if for any reason, having to do with me, questions about the situation, anything, you decide you would just as soon not plead guilty, that's fine. I'm not disturbed. It doesn't mess up my day. While I've got you and the lawyers here, we'll get your case ready for trial. But trial is one of your rights. So I'm not angry if you want a trial.

Now, everything's real world here. Nothing I say is said just to say it. So real world, true, usually you get a discount, you get something less if you plead guilty. And the reason for that is the government doesn't have to spend the taxpayers' money going through a trial. Usually I give that discount. I don't have to, but usually I do, unless I find out you're lying or have committed some other

```
1
      crime.
                Now, if we go to trial and you're found guilty
 2
      there's no discount. You understand that?
 3
          Yes.
 4
          But never would I punish you, not one day, for going to
 5
      trial. That's your right is to have a trial.
 6
               Now, do you understand those things?
 7
          Yes, sir.
 8
      Α
          Now, let's talk about do you know what you're doing.
 9
      Q
10
               How old are you, sir?
          I'm 44.
11
      Α
          How far did you go in school?
12
      Q
13
      Α
          Graduated high school.
14
      Q
         Have you ever been treated for a mental illness of any
15
      sort?
16
           I've sought counseling. I wouldn't call it mental
17
      illness. Substance abuse issues, many years ago.
18
          About how long ago?
      Q
19
          Over ten.
      Α
          All right. Taking any medication today?
20
      Q
21
          Yes.
      Α
22
         And I don't mean to be too personal.
23
          Blood pressure, potassium, an antibiotic, and an allergy
24
      medication.
25
          All right. And are you taking those medications?
```

- 1 A Excuse me?
- 2 Q I mean, are you taking them as you're supposed to?
- 3 A Oh, regularly, yes.
- 4 Q Yes. And how do you feel? Normal?
- 5 A As one could expect, yes.
- 6 | Q Well, all right. But --
- 7 A A little anxious.
- 8 Q Given the situation I understand. But, but for that
- 9 you're certainly oriented, you know who your lawyer is --
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q -- Mr. Cruz. You know I'm the judge. You know --
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 **Q** -- Mr. Richardson is the prosecutor.
- I see you're wearing a wristband. Does that have
- anything to do with any medical treatment?
- 16 A No, that is an identification bracelet so that they know
- 17 I am in fact who I am.
- 18 Q All right. I see. All right.
- 19 Are you aware of any mental illness that you may
- 20 have that we don't know about?
- 21 A I don't think so.
- 22 **Q** All right. Under the influence of any drug?
- 23 A No.
- 24 Q Under the influence of alcohol?
- 25 A Negative.

- **Q** Do you know what you're charged with?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
- 3 | O Tell me?
- 4 A Armed bank robbery.
- **Q** Three counts.
- 6 A Three counts.
- Q And, I mean, it's not a test, but that's what we're going to talk about.
- 9 A I understand.

Q So, with those charges against you, here's what the government has to prove and here's what are your rights with respect to them.

The three charges are the same so I'll just give you the example of one such charge; they're the same but on different occasions.

What the government has to prove for each one of these charges, considered separately, is that you've committed armed bank robbery. Let's go through each one of those.

That you or a confederate, they charged this Mr.

Dunnels as well, were armed. And that means that you had something that either was in fact capable of inflicting bodily harm, injuring someone, a knife or a gun or something, or purported to be a weapon which would increase the fear of people.

So you had to be armed. You and Mr. Dunnels, one of you had to be armed.

Two, we're talking about robbery from a bank. Now, the word bank in the law is what, it's where the money is.

It's the lending institution, takes deposits, makes loans.

Now, what gets us into the courts of the United

States is, it's got to be either chartered under the laws of
the United States or its deposits have to be insured under
the FDIC, under the laws of the United States. Now, it's
not required that you know that, as opposed to a state bank.

I mean, most banks are under the FDIC now, but not all. And
the government's got to prove it, because that's what brings
us into the courts of the United States.

So, armed, United States bank as I've explained, robbery. Now, robbery is to take money or property from someone by scaring them, by putting that person in fear. The reason the person surrenders the money is because they're afraid of you. You have said something or done something or displayed the weapon such that that's the reason they comply.

Larceny, this is no law course, but larceny, if you pick someone's pocket, you're still stealing their money, but they don't know it, they're not frightened. Robbery is when you take it away from them, or him or her, by scaring them, by frightening them. That's the reason they give up

1 the money.

So, all three have to be proved for this charge, armed bank robbery. And each separate occasion, you're alleged, you're charged with having done it three times, each separate occasion they have to prove those elements to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Here are your rights with respect to that. I keep talking about proof and trial. You have a right to a fair and an impartial trial. And you have a right to a jury to make that decision. Not me or anyone else. A jury of the people would have to find those three elements, tested against each of the three occasions, beyond a reasonable doubt, unanimously.

At that trial you have the right to confront the witnesses against you. Now, that means you can sit right here in the courtroom and you watch them as they testify. But far more important, it means Mr. Cruz can question them, can cross-examine them, can introduce evidence on your behalf, can argue to the jury that as to one or more of these occasions there is in fact a reasonable doubt. And you have the right to testify, to push back against the government's case.

Now, remember you don't have to testify. You've

got that Fifth Amendment right to be silent. The government made this charge. The charges. The government has to prove these charges beyond a reasonable doubt.

You don't have to do anything. You don't have to, certainly don't have to testify, but you don't have to call witnesses or have Mr. Cruz argue or question. You could be at the defense table entirely silent. Now, to the extent you are silent, whether you're silent or not, I'm telling the jury you start the trial innocent, truly innocent. You're an innocent man. And we see what the evidence shows. You don't have to do anything.

And that applies to me, too. You and I have never met. I see we've got you in custody. But that's so you show up for the trial. The first I knew about this case is when I looked at this indictment. I've got no views about you, and I've got no views whether you're not guilty or guilty. And, in fact, I've got to take you as innocent. People plead guilty for a lot of different reasons. Some people say, well, I didn't do it, but the best deal I can get, I'm afraid the jury would find me guilty, things like that. So I must take you as innocent, and I do.

And when I explain all this, I'm not giving you anything. These things are your rights.

Do you understand you have these constitutional rights?

A Yes, sir.

Q Okay. If you plead guilty you give them all away.

We're never going to have a trial. We're never going to get to see the evidence against you. I'll ask Mr. Richardson to tell me what he hopes he could prove. And then I'll look at you and I'll say is that true? And that's it. That's as close as we ever come to the evidence. Once I sentence you, and that wouldn't be today, but when I'm ready to sentence you, once I sentence you your right to be silent about these crimes, that's gone. I don't know the status of this

Dunnels. But if he then comes to trial, you can be called to testify. And you can't say wait a minute, you can't make me, I plead the Fifth. The Fifth is gone because you're guilty. And once I sentence you, that's it, you have to testify about these crimes.

And not the least important, if you plead guilty this morning you go from being innocent in my eyes to being a guilty person, and all that remains for me is what's the sentence going to be.

Do you understand that?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Now, let's talk about what may happen to you.

Ms. Smith reminds me, which I had candidly forgotten, Mr. Dunnels already has pleaded guilty. But you do understand that if there's some legitimate reason, once

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you're sentenced, not until you're sentenced, but once you're sentenced the government could want to investigate how this all came to be, these crimes, not other crimes, but these crimes, you would have to tell them these things. You understand? Yes, sir. Now, this is a straight up plea, which means that I don't have a written plea agreement. And we need to know what may happen to you, so I'm going to ask Mr. Richardson. THE COURT: Even though we haven't got a written plea agreement, does the government have a position? I'm not asking that you state one, but I need to know any promises you've made. Any position you're going to take? MR. RICHARDSON: Your Honor, I have not made any promises in that regard, but I can tell you in candor that my recommendation as to this defendant would be the low end of the career offender guidelines assuming acceptance of responsibility, which would be 188. THE COURT: Thank you. Now, that's significant when he says that because I can hold him to that. So let's, let's talk about it. THE COURT: Your position is that he is a career offender --MR. RICHARDSON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: -- given his prior convictions.

1 MR. RICHARDSON: Yes.

THE COURT: And that -- let's, let's put aside acceptance for a moment and assume that he is found guilty and that he's a career offender. How do the guidelines work out?

MR. RICHARDSON: Your Honor, putting aside acceptance of responsibility, the guidelines range would be 262 months to 327 months. Now, the statutory maximum for a single count would be 25 years, or 300 months. But because there is more than one count, assuming he were found guilty of at least two counts, the Court could run the sentences consecutively.

THE COURT: Up to 327.

MR. RICHARDSON: Exactly. To achieve that result.

Q Now, let's be clear here. If we assume everything goes against you, Mr. Rigano, everything goes against you, as I understand the constitution and the laws of the United States, I can send you to prison for 327 months.

Do you understand that?

A Yes, sir.

Q All right. Now, when I ask Mr. Richardson he tells me, and if you plead guilty I will hold him to it, that your sentence, the recommended sentence, recommended by the sentencing commission, I'd be advised by the sentencing commission, is going to be 188 to 235 months.

1 Do you understand that? Yes, sir. 2 Α And he says on behalf of the government he will 3 recommend a sentence of 188 months. 4 Do you understand that? 5 Yes, sir. 6 7 Now, I'm going over these things, but it doesn't mean that I'm bound by any of them, except I can't go higher, as 8 I understand the law, than 327. The sentencing commission 9 is advising I ought to sentence you somewhere between 188 to 10 11 235. Mr. Richardson's going to tell me, if you plead 12 guilty, he's going to tell me 188. Since there's no 13 agreement here your lawyer can argue and the law will permit 14 me to go lower than 188. And I'm not -- and you understand that? 15 16 Yes. 17 But I'm not saying anything. I just need you to know 18 this. I'm not bargaining with you. And what you need to know is that the worst that can happen to you is 327 months. 19 20 Do you understand that? Yes, sir. 21 Α 22 All right. Q 23 THE COURT: There's no enhancements that figure 24 into this, Mr. Richardson? I just want to advise him. 25 require you to prove them.

```
1
               MR. RICHARDSON:
                                 Right.
                                         No, correct, your Honor,
 2
      given that it's a career offender status it's one, one size
      fits all.
 3
                THE COURT: Okay.
 4
          Now, other than the recommendation that Mr. Richardson
 5
      just told me here in open court, has anyone promised you
 6
 7
      anything to get you to plead guilty?
          No, sir.
 8
          All right. No one's said to you, now, look, go ahead
 9
      and plead guilty, I know what Judge Young will do, he'll be
10
      this way or that way. No sort of --
11
12
          No, sir.
      Α
13
          No side deal, no advice I don't know about?
14
      Α
         Not at all.
15
          Has anyone threatened you with anything to get you to
16
      plead guilty?
17
          No, sir.
18
          Are you covering up or taking responsibility for things
      that Dunnels or somebody else in fact did?
19
20
          I'm taking responsibility for the actions that I
      participated in, for the things that I did.
21
22
          Very well.
      Q
23
               Do you know that if you're not a citizen of the
24
      United States conviction of these crimes may have the
25
      consequence of your being deported from the United States,
```

```
1
      denied admission under the laws of the United States, denied
      naturalization under the laws of the United States?
 2
               Do you know that?
 3
          Yes, sir.
 4
          Have you had enough time to talk all this over with Mr.
 5
      Cruz?
 6
 7
          If it's all right, I would like to ask him a quick
      question, if I may.
 8
          Absolutely. Absolutely.
 9
                (Whereupon Mr. Cruz and Mr. Rigano conferred.)
10
               MR. CRUZ: Thank you, your Honor.
11
12
          Have you had enough time now?
      Q
13
      Α
          Thank you.
14
          Do you have any questions you want to ask me about
15
      anything I've said?
16
          No, sir.
17
          Now, you're entitled to counsel, that's one of your
18
      constitutional rights, and you're entitled to counsel who
19
      knows what he's doing. Now, I have my views of Mr. Cruz and
20
      they're very favorable views. But that doesn't count.
               Have you had enough time to talk all this over with
21
22
      him?
23
         Yes, sir.
24
          Now, what passes between you and he, that's private.
      But are you satisfied, you, are you satisfied with his
25
```

```
1
      representation of you? Do you think he's gotten for you
 2
      those rights which are your rights under the constitution
      and laws?
 3
          Yes, sir, I do.
 4
          Do you think he's been a good lawyer for you?
 5
          Sure.
 6
      Α
          All right. Still want to plead guilty?
 7
      Q
          Yes, I do.
 8
 9
          Why?
      Q
          Because it's just time to face the music. There's no
10
      reason for me to drag this out any further. There's no
11
12
      reason for me to waste your time or the Court's time or Mr.
13
      Cruz's time or Mr. Richardson's time.
14
      Q
          Now -- I didn't mean to interrupt.
15
      Α
          No.
               That's --
16
          Now, as I listen to you that means, when you say face
17
      the music that means you're going to own up to being guilty
18
      of these crimes; is that right?
          Yes, sir.
19
      Α
          Now, as I said, I'm going to turn now to Mr. Richardson
20
      and I want him briefly to tell me what he thinks he can
21
22
      prove as it pertains to you. You listen to what he says
23
      because I'm going to ask you whether that's true.
24
               THE COURT: All right, Mr. Richardson, briefly.
25
               MR. RICHARDSON: Yes, your Honor.
```

```
1
               THE DEFENDANT: Excuse me?
               THE COURT: Yes.
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: Is there any way he could speak up?
 3
      I have a hard time hearing you.
 4
               THE COURT: Yes, he certainly will. He'll either
 5
      come closer or -- and if you don't hear him just raise your
6
      hand and I'll get him -- you're entitled to hear everything
7
8
      he says.
               Go ahead, Mr. Richardson.
9
10
               MR. RICHARDSON: I can use the podium, your Honor,
11
      if that helps.
12
               THE COURT: That's fine. Yes, come closer.
13
               THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. I apologize for the
14
      inconvenience.
15
               THE COURT: No apology -- it's no inconvenience.
16
               MR. RICHARDSON: I've got a soft voice. I
17
      apologize.
18
               THE COURT: It's your right. You need to know
19
      everything that we do.
20
               MR. RICHARDSON: Your Honor, as to Count 1, the
      evidence at trial would have shown that on Saturday, May 30,
21
22
      2009, at around 11:16 a.m., a white male, wearing a
23
      backpack, a bandanna over the lower part of his face,
24
      sunglasses, gloves and a baseball cap, entered the Eagle
      Bank located at 466 Broadway, Everett, Massachusetts,
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

brandishing what appeared to be a black handgun and shouting in substance, "This is a robbery, everyone get down on the floor." This male vaulted over the teller counter and took cash from drawers that a bank employee was ordered to open. This male left the bank with approximately \$8,620 in cash. The Eagle Bank was then and is now insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC.

As to Count 2, the evidence at trial would have shown that on Saturday, June 27, 2009, at around 12:18 p.m., a white male, wearing a hooded sweatshirt, sunglasses and a bandanna covering part of his face, entered the Brookline Bank located at 196 Commercial Street in Malden, Massachusetts and screamed in substance, "This is a robbery, everyone get down." The male jumped over the teller counter and took money from the top drawer of a teller station, removing a battery pack from a dye pack and putting the dye pack into a backpack he was carrying. The male then took money from the bottom teller drawer. Meanwhile, a second white male, also wearing a hooded sweatshirt, bandanna and sunglasses, entered the bank holding what appeared to be a black handgun. This second male ordered customers onto the floor as well. The first male went back over the counter having taken approximately \$3,805 in cash, and the two males fled down Adams Street. Brookline Bank was then and is now insured by the FDIC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The evidence as to Count 3 would have shown that three days later, on June 30, 2009, at approximately 3:40 p.m., two white males entered the East Cambridge Savings Bank located at 285 Highland Avenue, Somerville, Massachusetts, each wearing a hooded sweatshirt, sunglasses and a bandanna covering the lower part of the face. One of them vaulted the teller counter and ordered the teller in substance to open your drawer, open your second drawer. male took money from both drawers and then approached another teller and took money from that teller's drawers, taking a total aggregate amount of approximately \$10,409 which he put into a backpack. Meanwhile, the other white male stayed in the lobby, pointing what appeared to be a black handgun at the tellers. The two males left the bank. East Cambridge Savings Bank was then and is now insured by the FDIC.

The evidence further would have shown that following this third robbery, a witness observed two robbers running to a black Lincoln Town Car, got the plate number and reported that to the police. The two males got into the Lincoln and fled the area. The information was radioed to all area police departments by the so-called BAPERN radio system and meanwhile the police learned that the car was registered to a car rental company and learned from the car rental company that it had been rented to this defendant,

1 James Rigano.

Later that afternoon a Boston police officer was performing a paid detail in Charlestown, Massachusetts. He saw this vehicle, radioed for assistance, ran up to the vehicle and ordered the driver, who turned out to be this defendant, to raise his hands. The defendant complied. A back seat passenger, Eric Leonard, likewise was apprehended. A third passenger, who was in the front passenger seat, ran but was caught after a short chase and proved to be co-defendant, Terry Dunnels.

And Eric Leonard by the way has been separately charged by information in this case.

Both Dunnels and Leonard would have testified at trial. Dunnels would have testified, among other things, that for each of the three robberies the defendant had requested that he participate, had driven to and picked up Dunnels in New York state, and as to the first two robberies at least had returned him to New York state.

THE COURT: Your use of pronouns slows me down.

You say the evidence is that Mr. Rigano requested Mr.

Dunnels to participate, went and got him from New York, et cetera.

MR. RICHARDSON: Exactly, your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. RICHARDSON: And similarly, Leonard would have

testified that Mr. Rigano recruited him to participate in the robberies.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Both Dunnels and Leonard would have testified that for each of the three robberies, Mr. Rigano was the getaway driver. They would have testified that Dunnels is the robber who vaulted the counter each time. They would have testified that for the first robbery Leonard served as a lookout, and for the second and third he entered the bank with Dunnels and had the black item that appeared to be a handgun but was actually a BB gun.

One or both of them would have testified that the defendant, with respect to the first robbery, the Eagle Bank robbery, entered that bank before the first robbery, stood in line to obtain change while he surveilled the inside of the bank and left with the change he had, that before the second robbery Mr. Rigano placed a call to the Malden Police to cause police to respond to another area of Malden and thereby drew them away from the Brookline Bank, and that before the third robbery, as before the first robbery, the defendant entered this time the East Cambridge Savings Bank and made change while he was surveilling the inside of the The evidence would have included bank surveillance bank. images from the first and the third banks clearly depicting the defendant making change at teller windows before the robberies and a recording of the diversionary call that was

```
1
      made before the second robbery.
               MR. CRUZ: Your Honor, if I may, before Mr. Rigano
2
      answers. And Mr. Rigano can certainly speak for himself.
3
      But what I would like to do is preface his response by
 4
      stating that Mr. Rigano is prepared to plead guilty to all
 5
      of the charges and admit to the elements of each of those
6
7
      offenses. However, he is not required to give any credence
      or accept any statement made by either Mr. Dunnels or
8
      Mr. Leonard with regard to their respective roles in this
9
      offense. In other words --
10
11
               THE COURT: No, I'm following what you're saying.
12
               MR. CRUZ: And specifically, your Honor --
13
               THE COURT: I'm not, I'm not clear as a practical
14
      matter.
15
               MR. CRUZ: Specifically, your Honor --
16
               THE COURT: Yes.
17
               MR. CRUZ: -- the comment having to do with
18
      Mr. Dunnels making a statement to the effect that Mr. Rigano
19
      called him and recruited him and asked him to participate in
20
      this robbery --
21
               THE COURT: Oh, I --
22
               MR. CRUZ: -- is the point of contention --
23
               THE COURT: If that's it, that's fine.
24
               MR. CRUZ:
                         -- your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: And I appreciate that. And if you
```

1 dislike in any way what I'm now going to ask him please 2 interrupt. MR. CRUZ: 3 Sure. Your lawyer's doing what he's supposed to do, because I 4 was going to ask you generally is that true. Now, I'm going 5 to ask you a more precise question. 6 7 Put aside how the three of you got into this with respect to any of these three robberies. Here's my 8 question. Is what Mr. Richardson said about what you did 9 with respect to each of the three robberies in concert with 10 11 Mr. Dunnels and, Mr. Dunnels and Mr. Leonard, are those statements true? 12 13 I did in fact drive the car and Mr. Leonard did in fact 14 hold the floor, Mr. Dunnels did in fact jump the counter in 15 all three instances, every robbery that he described. 16 Right. There was the other business about you casing 17 it. Is that true? 18 I went in and made change and came out with the information. Mr. Dunnels said there was no glass, that he 19 20 could actually get over the counter. And the call business; is that true? 21 22 Yes, sir. Α 23 All right. So, as I understand it you're prepared to

plead guilty primarily because you're guilty but, of course,

you're looking to hold the government to that 188 month

24

25

```
1
      recommendation, but primarily because you are in fact guilty
 2
      and as you say you'll face the music for these three
      robberies?
 3
          Yes.
 4
      Α
                THE COURT: All right. I find that Mr. James
 5
      Rigano knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily exercises
 6
 7
      his right to plead guilty and the clerk may accept the plea.
          Now, this is the key point. She's going to stand up and
 8
      say you've previously pleaded not quilty. How do you -- do
 9
      you want to change your plea? You could stop now, that's
10
11
      fine, and we'll get set for trial. But if you say yes,
12
      she'll say how do you plead, guilty or not guilty? If you
13
      say guilty then as to these three charges you're guilty, no
14
      taking it back, no starting over, all that remains is what
15
      sentence I'm going to impose upon you.
16
               Do you understand?
17
      Α
          Yes, sir.
18
          Very well.
      Q
          If I may.
19
      Α
20
          Of course you may.
      Q
          I wrote a letter and I don't know if it would be best to
21
      Α
22
      give it to you myself or to have my attorney give it to you.
23
      Or if I should read it to you. But I would like you to --
24
          Well --
      Q
25
          If it's possible before I actually stand up and --
```

1 Q Before you plead you would like me to read it? If you don't mind. 2 Α I don't mind at all. 3 MR. CRUZ: I have it here, your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Yes. Fine. THE DEFENDANT: Thank you. 6 7 MR. CRUZ: And for the record, your Honor, we can, we can make it a part of the docket after the fact so 8 Mr. Richardson has access to it. 9 10 THE COURT: Oh, yes, I will of course do that. 11 (Pause in proceedings.) All right, thank you, Mr. Rigano, and we will make this 12 part of the record. And of course I will reread this again 13 14 to familiarize myself shortly before the time of sentencing. 15 And we're going to keep this on file. 16 The letter is perfectly consistent with what you've 17 admitted to here today and doesn't take back or change 18 anything you've admitted to. I'm going to let obviously 19 Mr. Richardson see it. 20 Let me ask you now. Do you, do you want to plead 21 guilty to these three charges? 22 Yes, sir. Α 23 THE COURT: All right. Then the clerk may accept the plea. 24

THE CLERK: James Rigano, you've previously pleaded

25

```
1
      not guilty to an indictment charging you with violating in
      Counts 1 through 3, 18 U.S.C., Section 2113(a)(d), armed
2
      bank robbery.
3
               Do you now want to change your plea from not guilty
 4
5
      to guilty?
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
6
7
               THE CLERK: What say you now to Counts 1 through 3,
      guilty or not guilty?
8
9
               THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.
10
               THE COURT: Thank you. He may step down and I will
      remand him.
11
12
                (Whereupon the defendant stepped down.)
13
               THE COURT: I propose sentencing for the 10th of
14
      May at 2:00 p.m. Is that satisfactory, Mr. Richardson?
15
               MR. RICHARDSON: That's fine, your Honor, thank
16
      you.
17
               THE COURT: And Mr. Cruz?
18
               MR. CRUZ: Yes, your Honor.
               THE COURT: We'll docket the letter.
19
20
      Mr. Richardson, you're going to want to look at it because
      it makes reference to Mr. Dunnels.
21
22
               MR. RICHARDSON: I thought it might.
23
               THE COURT: I want it available with respect to
      that sentencing as well.
24
25
               MR. RICHARDSON: Yes. I understand.
```

1 THE COURT: All right. He's remanded to the custody of the marshals. We'll recess. 2 THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in recess. 3 (Whereupon the matter concluded.) 4 5 6 7 CERTIFICATE 8 9 I, Donald E. Womack, Official Court Reporter for 10 the United States District Court for the District of 11 12 Massachusetts, do hereby certify that the foregoing pages 13 are a true and accurate transcription of my shorthand notes taken in the aforementioned matter to the best of my skill 14 15 and ability. 16 17 18 19 /S/ DONALD E. WOMACK 2-16-2012 20 DONALD E. WOMACK 21 Official Court Reporter P.O. Box 51062 22 Boston, Massachusetts 02205-1062 womack@megatran.com 23 24 25